

Supplementary Table 4. The comparison of diagnostic performance of CT/MRI LI-RADS, CEUS LI-RADS and modified CEUS LI-RADS and for 31 histologically proven lesions

	Diagnostic performance						
	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Accuracy (%)	AUC (%)	LR+	LR-	dOR
CT/MRI LI-RADS	52.2 (30.6–73.2)	75.0 (34.9–96.8)	58.1 (39.1–75.5)	63.6 (44.5–82.7)	2.1 (0.6–7.4)	0.6 (0.4–1.1)	3.3 (0.5–19.7)
CEUS LI-RADS	78.3 (56.3–92.5)	62.5 (24.5–91.5)	74.2 (55.4–88.1)	70.4 (50.5–90.3)	2.1 (0.8–5.2)	0.3 (0.1–0.9)	6.0 (1.1–34.2)
Modified CEUS LI-RADS	78.3 (56.3–92.5)	62.5 (24.5–91.5)	74.2 (55.4–88.1)	70.4 (50.5–90.3)	2.1 (0.8–5.2)	0.3 (0.1–0.9)	6.0 (1.1–34.2)
P-value	0.114 ^{a)}	>0.99 ^{a)}	0.228 ^{a)}	0.450 ^{b)}			

Data in parentheses are 95% confidence intervals. P-value for the comparison between CT/MRI LI-RADS and modified CEUS LI-RADS.

CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; LI-RADS, Liver Imaging Reporting and Data System; CEUS, contrast-enhanced ultrasonography; AUC, area under the curve; LR+, positive likelihood ratio; LR-, negative likelihood ratio; dOR, diagnostic odds ratio.

^{a)}McNemar test. ^{b)}DeLong's method.