Hepatic and splenic sonographic and sonoelastographic findings in pulmonary arterial hypertension
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Purpose: The aim of this study was to evaluate the associations of sonographic and sonoelastographic parameters with clinical cardiac parameters, as well as to assess their value in predicting survival in patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH).

Methods: Thirty-six patients with PAH and normal liver function were prospectively enrolled in this prospective study along with 26 healthy controls, all of whom underwent ultrasound and point shear wave elastography examinations. Additionally, the portal vein pulsatility index (PVPI), inferior vena cava collapsibility index, and clinical cardiac variables were obtained in PAH patients. The values of hepatic (LVs) and splenic shear wave velocity (SVs) were compared between PAH patients and controls. The relationships between all sonographic and clinical parameters in the PAH patients were analyzed. Furthermore, their prognostic value in predicting survival was investigated.

Results: LVs values in PAH patients (median, 1.62 m/s) were significantly higher than in controls (median, 0.99 m/s), while no significant difference was observed in SVs values. Patients with higher grades of tricuspid regurgitation (TR) had significantly different values of PVPI (P=0.010) and sonoelastographic parameters (P<0.001 for LVs and P=0.004 for SVs) compared to those with less severe TR. Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion values were the only investigated parameter found to be associated with survival (hazard ratio, 0.814; 95% confidence interval, 0.694 to 0.954; P=0.011).

Conclusion: Our results demonstrated a direct association between cardiac congestion (i.e., the severity of TR) and liver stiffness, which should be kept in mind during the assessment of fibrosis in patients with PAH.
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Introduction

In recent decades, several novel, non-invasive techniques have been developed to assess the degree of liver fibrosis, such as analyses of liver stiffness (LS) by elastography. Different applications of shear wave elastography (SWE) have been introduced for this purpose. Transient elastography (TE; Fibroscan, Echosens, France) was the first technique to be used and validated in this field. Numerous studies have been conducted to determine its usefulness and accuracy for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic liver disease [1–4]. More recently, new SWE techniques have been implemented in medical ultrasound (US) systems, thereby allowing sonoelastographic exams to be performed in combination with real-time US examinations to quantify tissue stiffness [5]. Point shear wave elastography (pSWE) works by high-intensity US waves causing localized tissue displacement in a small region of interest (ROI) depending on the characteristics of the related tissue, resulting in the generation of shear waves that are detected by the US scanner with additional software capability, thereby indirectly quantifying LS, as the shear wave velocity (SWV) is proportional to the tissue elasticity [6–8]. The correlation between LS and fibrosis is well known, but is also influenced by several other pathologies, such as fatty infiltration, inflammation, cholestasis, congestion, and the patient’s food/liquid intake before the exam [7,9]. The effects of these confounding factors have been documented by many studies using TE, and their influence on different SWE techniques is still being explored in different clinical processes and patient groups [10–13]. Moreover, as pSWE is a newer technique than TE, the number of studies on the effect of congestion as a confounding factor on pSWE in pathologic processes is still limited, and none have been conducted for pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH) to the best of our knowledge [14–26].

In this study, we hypothesized that liver and spleen stiffness measured via pSWE would be directly related to the degree of congestion and severity of PAH, and thus might be used as additional useful parameters for management and prediction of the prognosis. Hence, we aimed to investigate the effects of cardiac congestion on sonoelastographic parameters in patients with PAH, a vitally important pathology that has not been studied using sonoelastography before. In this context, we assessed the relationship of sonoelastographic parameters with routine clinical cardiac parameters, as well as the long-term survival rates of PAH patients in our study group.

Materials and Methods

Study Design and Patient Population

This prospective, single-center, cross-sectional, single-blind study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our hospital. All patients enrolled in this study provided written informed consent prior to their inclusion. During a 20-month period between 2013 and 2015, 36 newly diagnosed PAH patients with normal liver function tests and negative serological markers of acute or chronic liver diseases were included in the study, together with 26 healthy controls with no known hepatic pathology and a similar age and sex distribution. In addition to the initial clinical and sonographic studies related to the study, the current health status of the patients with PAH was checked in February 2020. The exclusion criteria of our study were as follows: (1) patients with known or detected hepatic diseases, including alcoholic hepatitis, alcoholic or non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, acute or chronic viral hepatitis, or hematologic diseases; (2) patients who declined to participate; (3) inadequate patient cooperation that compromised the sonoelastographic examination; (4) patients with open wounds or bandages that hampered mobility of the US probe at the level of the costal arches; (5) presence of hemorrhage, infarction, or a space-occupying lesion that hindered the sonoelastographic evaluation within the lateral part of the hepatic or splenic parenchyma; (6) severe orthopnea resulting in elevation of the head of the bed by more than 30°; and (7) patients who were treated or currently receiving medications for PAH.

Initially, the patients with PAH underwent a clinical assessment for the diagnosis of PAH using cardiac parameters, including echocardiographic variables (systolic pulmonary artery pressure [sPAP], tricuspid regurgitation velocity [TRV], tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion [TAPSE]), cardiac catheterization parameters (mean pulmonary artery pressure [mPAP], pulmonary artery wedge pressure [PAWP]), liver function tests, serological markers of acute or chronic liver diseases, and New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class or 6-minute-walk distance test results. They were then referred to the Department of Radiology.

Blinded to the clinical and laboratory data, a single radiologist with more than 5 years of experience with pSWE performed B-mode standard US scanning and pSWE in the same session, using a US device (Acuson S2000) with Virtual Touch tissue quantification software (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., Mountain View, CA, USA). A C6-1 HD convex probe with a frequency range between 1.0 and 6.0 MHz was routinely used for the exams. After fasting for at least 6 hours, all patients in the PAH and the control groups underwent hepatic and splenic assessments with B-mode and Doppler US prior to pSWE measurements. During the sonographic
examinations of all patients and healthy controls, the size of the liver and spleen, the echogenicity and homogeneity of the hepatic and splenic parenchyma, and the presence of space-occupying lesions were evaluated and noted. In patients with PAH, further sonographic analysis yielded the portal vein pulsatility index (PVPI) and inferior vena cava collapsibility index (IVCCI) values, which were calculated as described before \cite{27,28}. In the sonoelastographic evaluation, pSWE measurements in the right hepatic lobe (segments 5 or 6) (Fig. 1) and lower half of the spleen were obtained through intercostal spaces, where the parenchyma was homogenous and devoid of any vessels or surrounding structures. The ROI was placed at least 2 cm deep to the organ capsule while the supine lying patient was suspending his/her breath in the mid-respiration phase. The SWV was calculated by measuring maximal lateral displacement and the duration required for the peak displacement. The results were demonstrated in units of meters per second (m/s). Using the on-board software of the US device, we calculated the median, average, and interquartile range (IQR) ratio (IQR/median, IQRR) using at least 10 valid pSWV measurements in the liver of all patients and healthy controls. The calculated median value (liver shear wave velocity value [LVs]) of at least 10 consecutive hepatic SWV measurements was accepted as representative of LS in a given patient only if the IQR of all valid measurements was less than 30%. Thus, the LVs values of four PAH patients and a healthy control were excluded from the statistical analysis. Likewise, the representative splenic SWV values (SVs) were determined by calculating the median value of at least 10 valid splenic measurements from each patient and healthy control. The SVs values of four patients and a healthy control were not included in the statistical analysis because the IQR values exceeded 30%. Additionally, patients with PAH were divided into two groups to analyze possible associations between the severity of tricuspid regurgitation (TR) and the values of PVPI, IVCCI, LVs, and SVs. Group 1 consisted of PAH patients with grade 1 or 2 TR, while the patients with higher grades of TR (grade 3 or 4) comprised group 2.

To investigate the presence of any associations of sonographic and clinical laboratory parameters with the survival rates of the patients with PAH, these patients’ medical records were scrutinized in February 2020, at least 65 months after their sonographic assessment (in addition to any other available communication records), in order to evaluate relationships between the study parameters and survival.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 25.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) for Windows (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA, USA). A P-value of less than 0.05 was regarded as indicating statistical significance. Descriptive statistical analysis was performed for the study sample, and the data were expressed as mean values with standard deviations or median values with interquartile ranges, as appropriate. The independent Student t test and chi-square test were used to determine the significance of differences between the patient and control groups in terms of age, sex, and body mass index (BMI). LVs, and SVs in the PAH patients were compared using the independent Student t test and the Mann-Whitney U test depending on whether the data had a parametric or non-parametric distribution. Receiver operating characteristic analysis was conducted to detect the most favorable cut-off value for LVs. The correlations between clinical parameters and PVPI, IVCCI, LVs, and SVs in the patient and control groups were tested using the non-parametric Spearman correlation coefficient. The Kaplan-Meier method was performed to estimate the survival rate of patients with PAH, and Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was used to investigate the parameters affecting survival.

**Results**

Thirty-six patients with PAH and 26 healthy volunteers were enrolled in our study. All healthy volunteers and patients were eligible for the pSWE examination. Of the 36 included patients, 22 (61%) were women. The mean age of the patient group was 50.56±17.01 years, with an age range of 19 to 75. Of the 26 healthy volunteers enrolled in the study, 17 (65.4%) were women, with ages between 27 and 79 years (mean, 54.35±12.26 years). The mean BMI in the patient and control groups was 25.64±6.29 kg/m² and 25.11±3.49 kg/m², respectively. The average age, sex, and BMI were not significantly different between the patient and control groups. The clinical characteristics of the patient group are presented in Table 1.
The liver and splenic parenchyma were homogeneous, with normal echogenicity in both groups. Neither the patient nor the control group had space-occupying lesions within the liver or spleen. The number of patients with hepatomegaly was not remarkably different between the groups, while splenomegaly was significantly more common in the patient group (Table 2). Quantitative sonoelastographic parameters (PVPI and IVCCI) could be obtained in 33 of the 36 patients with PAH. The average PVPI and IVCCI values were 0.46±0.26 (mean±standard deviation [SD]) and 0.38±0.26 (mean±SD), respectively. Both IVCCI (r=0.35, P=0.049) and PVPI (r=−0.45, P=0.009) were significantly correlated with TAPSE values. On further analysis, higher PVPI values were detected in patients with more severe TR, yet no association could be found pertaining to IVCCI (Table 3).

For both LVs and SVs, acceptable measurements (IQR<30%) were achieved in 32 of the 36 patients with PAH (88.9%), and in 25 of the 26 healthy controls (96.2%). LVs, (median, 1.62 m/s; IQR, 1.10 to 2.53 m/s; n=32) in the patient group was significantly higher than in the control group (median, 0.99 m/s; IQR, 0.86 to 1.11 m/s; n=25), while no such difference was observed in terms of SVs (median, 3.27 m/s; IQR, 2.75 to 3.52 m/s; n=32 and median, 3.21 m/s; IQR, 2.88 to 3.44 m/s; n=25, respectively) (Table 2). The optimal cut-off value for LVs to differentiate the patients from the healthy controls was 1.18 m/s, and the area under the curve, sensitivity, and specificity values for this cut-off were calculated as 0.82, 69%, and 96%, respectively.

Within the patient group, TR severity was significantly correlated with LVs and SVs values. The patients in group 2 (i.e., PAH patients with TR severity of grade 3 or 4) demonstrated higher LVs and SVs than those in group 1 (TR severity grades of 1 or 2) (Table 3). Moreover, the LVs value (r=0.52, P=0.003) was significantly correlated with PVPI. In contrast, no correlations were found between SWV values and clinical parameters, including other echocardiographic variables (sPAP, TRV, TAPSE), catheterization parameters (mPAP, PAWP), NYHA functional class, or 6-minute-walk distance test results.

Among the basic demographic (age, sex, and BMI), clinical (NYHA functional class), quantitative sonographic, sonoelastographic (LVs and SVs), echocardiographic (sPAP, TRV, TAPSE), and catheterization (mPAP, PAWP) parameters, TAPSE was the only variable found to have a significant association with survival in patients with PAH (hazard ratio, 0.814; 95% confidence interval, 0.694 to 0.954; P=0.011). The 6-month, 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the patient group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total (n=36)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical factor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>50.56±17.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>22 (61.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.64±6.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class I/II</td>
<td>4 (11.1)/15 (41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class III/IV</td>
<td>16 (44.4)/1 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Minute walk distance (m)</td>
<td>299.4±131.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH cause</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idiopathic and familial PAH</td>
<td>12 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connective tissue disease-associated PAH</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart disease-associated PAH</td>
<td>10 (27.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td>9 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery catheterization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pulmonary artery pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>44.1±17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary artery wedge pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>9.7±5.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%). BMI, body mass index; NYHA, New York Heart Association; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension.

Table 2. B-mode ultrasound findings, and sonoelastographic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PAH</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-mode ultrasound data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
<td>5 (13.9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly</td>
<td>14 (38.9)</td>
<td>2 (7.7)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoelastographic parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVs (m/s)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.10–2.53)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.86–1.11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVs (m/s)</td>
<td>3.27 (2.75–3.52)</td>
<td>3.21 (2.88–3.44)</td>
<td>0.784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as number (%) or median (interquartile range). LVs, liver shear wave velocity; PAH, pulmonary arterial hypertension; SVs, splenic shear wave velocity.

Table 3. Quantitative sonographic and sonoelastographic parameters of the patient group according to the severity of TR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Grade of TR</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 or 2 (n=14)</td>
<td>3 or 4 (n=19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative sonoelastographic parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVPI</td>
<td>0.31±0.13</td>
<td>0.58±0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVCCI</td>
<td>0.45 (0.03–0.90)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.05–0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoelastographic parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVs (m/s)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.77–4.38)</td>
<td>2.30 (1.10–4.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SVs (m/s)</td>
<td>2.69 (2.42–3.90)</td>
<td>3.44 (2.92–4.58)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or median (range). TR, tricuspid regurgitation; PVPI, portal vein pulsatility index; IVCCI, inferior vena cava collapsibility index; LVs, liver shear wave velocity value; SVs, splenic shear wave velocity value.
The quantitative sonographic parameters that were investigated in this study (i.e., IVCCI and PVPI), demonstrated a weak positive and moderate negative correlation with an echocardiographic variable (TAPSE), respectively. These findings are in accordance with those of Styczynski et al. [29], who found a substantial relationship between PVPI and TAPSE values via a logistic regression model in patients with heart failure. However, we found no significant correlation between quantitative sonographic and cardiac catheterization parameters such as mPAP and PAWP in the present study, as opposed to the results of Stawicki et al. [30], who reported a weak negative correlation between IVCCI and mPAP values in critically ill patients, the majority of whom were under mechanical ventilation. The differences between these results may be related to the impact of mechanical ventilation, which may have affected hemodynamics and IVCCI values [27]. Nonetheless, we detected significantly higher PVPI values in patients with more severe grades of TR, in accordance with the work of Shih et al. [31]. In summary, consistent with a great deal of previously reported results, our findings suggest that quantitative sonographic variables such as IVCCI and PVPI may be of clinical use in the diagnosis and follow-up of PAH patients.

The LVs value was found to be potentially valuable in the follow-up process of patients with PAH, as a significant relationship was found between the degree of congestion and LS. Statistically, LVs of the patients with PAH were 86.1%, 81%, 61.1%, and 53%, respectively. The mean survival time of PAH patients was calculated to be 51.9±5.4 months (95% confidence interval, 41.4 to 62.5 months).

**Discussion**

The follow-up study of our patient group yielded similar survival rates to those reported in previous research. The 5-year survival rate of PAH patients in our cohort was within the range reported by Koudstaal et al. [33]. Our analysis of sonographic, sonoelastographic, and clinical cardiac variables demonstrated that only TAPSE was associated with survival in patients with PAH. This result aligns with those of other studies in the literature that have reported this parameter to be a prognostic factor in PAH [34–37].

There are a few limitations of our study. First, the number of cases was relatively small. Hence, further studies with larger study samples are needed to determine whether pSWE is a useful, non-invasive procedure that is helpful for the diagnosis and prediction of survival in PAH. Second, patients with normal liver function tests were enrolled in our study to determine the direct effect of congestion on LS. However, liver function tests have relatively low accuracy in identifying mild fibrosis, and liver biopsy is the gold standard method for detecting liver fibrosis [38]. Since we did not perform liver biopsy, mild fibrosis might have been overlooked in our PAH patients. Biopsy was not clinically justified in our patient population, as they were newly diagnosed with normal liver function tests together with negative serological markers of acute or chronic liver diseases and had no known or detected liver disease. Furthermore, we assumed that any possible mild hepatic fibrosis that had not caused any abnormalities in liver function tests would not result in significant changes in hepatosplenic stiffness values that would affect our results [7,39]. Third, the sonographic and sonoelastographic examinations could not be performed in a completely blinded manner with regard to whether an individual was a PAH patient or a control, since the clinical status of the examined PAH patients was readily indicative of their disease in most cases. However, meticulous objectivity was applied in obtaining US data from all cases in the study. Fourth, although for obtaining
survival rates of PAH patients, we evaluated the latest medical records and the most recently obtained information from patients, it was difficult to eliminate causes of death other than PAH without a detailed autopsy. However, given the high and well-known risk of mortality caused by PAH, we believe that PAH was at least indirectly responsible for most of our patients’ deaths [40]. Furthermore, our finding that TAPSE was a predictor of an increased mortality rate, which is in line with previous literature on the topic, provides support that our methodology of evaluating survival rates was, to a great extent, acceptable.

In conclusion, our results demonstrated the direct influence of cardiac congestion on LS in patients with PAH. Therefore, currently available sonoelastographic techniques for the assessment of liver fibrosis cannot be applied to patients with PAH. However, our analysis suggests that this technique may be helpful for discriminating PAH patients with severe TR from milder cases. However, no correlations were detected between sonoelastographic and clinical cardiac parameters, and TAPSE was the only investigated parameter found to be associated with survival. In light of our findings, we suggest further evaluation of pSWE as a possibly valuable test for the follow-up of PAH patients and as a prognostic predictor for PAH by future studies with a larger patient population.
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